

Book Review

Open Access

## Book Review: Daron Acemoglu ve James A. Robinson, The Narrow Corridor: States, Societies and The Faith of Liberty, New York, Penguin Press, 2019.

Muhammad Salman\*<sup>1</sup> 

\*Corresponding Author

Received: 17.08.2025

Accepted: 21.12.2025

Publication Date: 15.01.2026

© The Author(s) 2026  
(CC BY 4.0)

1 Recent Graduate and Research Assistant, Department of international relations, Faculty of Social Sciences, National University of Modern Languages, Islamabad, Pakistan,  
Email: salmanfarsi0344@gmail.com

### Abstract

This book review examines Daron Acemoglu and James A. Robinson's *The Narrow Corridor: States, Societies, and the Fate of Liberty*, which argues that political liberty emerges only when a capable state is balanced by an organized and empowered society. The authors conceptualize this equilibrium as the "narrow corridor," a fragile space in which state authority provides order while societal power restrains despotism. Using historical and comparative evidence from Europe, the Islamic world, and contemporary states such as China, India, and the United States, the book demonstrates how deviations from this balance produce either authoritarianism or disorder, both of which erode freedom. The review highlights the book's contributions to understanding the role of institutions, social norms, and technological change in shaping liberty. Overall, the work offers a coherent and historically grounded framework for analyzing the persistence, decline, and renewal of political freedom.

**Keywords:** Liberty, Comparative Politics, State-Society Balance, Political institutions, Narrow Corridor.

**Cite this article:** Salman, M. (2026). Book Review: Daron Acemoglu ve James A. Robinson, *The Narrow Corridor: States, Societies and The Faith of Liberty*, New York, Penguin Press, 2019. *International Journal of Peace and Conflict Research (IJPCR)*, 1(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.65826/IJPCR.1.1.2026.11>




©The Author(s) 2026. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License, which permits any non-commercial use, sharing, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if you modified the licensed material. You do not have permission under this license to share adapted material derived from this article or parts of it. The images or other third-party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>

### BOOK REVIEW

The central concept of the book is that liberty does not arise on its own. Also, it is not only the result of democratic or liberal institutions (the end of the history). Rather, liberty arises from a fragile balance between “state” and “society”. They refer to John Locke’s liberty, “everyone is free in their lives,” that is essential for human development and economic growth, which requires innovation, and innovation requires liberty (P. 112). In the chapter, “The Red Queen,” they argue that this balance, “narrow corridor,” is threatening due to conflicts between “society” that seeks independence and liberty and “the state” that seeks power. Thus, there is a threat that liberty may be compromised if the state becomes too powerful (despotic society, China). Similarly, if society is too weak to challenge the state, then there may be complete disorder and loss of freedom (authoritarianism). (p. 71) Hence, both lead to an unsustainable situation, as the narrow corridor is fragile. (as shown in the figure).

**Figure 1:** The Narrow Corridor: Balance between State Power and Societal Strength



Source: Adapted from Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2019)

The metaphorical space where state power and societal freedom reinforce each other is referred to as the corridor. (p. 71) No single one, whether it is a powerful state or a fragmented society, can ensure freedom. The authors argue that liberty is not possible without both a state with power and a society that can counter despotism. Through several examples, the authors highlight different countries around the world and how they navigated through the narrow corridor and the consequences that arise once that balance is disrupted (western Africa). They stated that “it is clear that liberty has been rare in history and is rare today, .... because they are trying to protect themselves and their families from violence and fear” (xii).

The authors examine how the Holy Prophet Muhammad and the European nations, among others, highlight the importance of this balance (p. 82). These encourage civil society, where citizens can easily take part in states’ actions such as politics. The provision of freedom through these means makes it much easier to promote liberty. Additionally, they clarify the continuous effort required for political liberty and invoke the “Red Queen effect.” (p. 48) This effect suggests that the state and society are mutually

adapting for their existence. As a society's power increases, its ability to challenge and curtail the state's authority also increases. (p. 50) Such processes of change facilitate the maintenance of liberty.

In chapter 14, "Into the Corridor," they contend that at any point in history, those states with unchecked power had proportionally greater autonomy over their citizens compared to state-controlled bureaucracies. Instead, when societies have lacked mechanisms to counter the state's authority, they have experienced dictatorship even in the presence of casual democratic. (p. 412) The book highlights the primacy of norms, rules, beliefs, and power relations that formulate the society's capacity for self-mobilization. Ironically, those norms will continue to undermine one's freedom even when a society is politically advanced. Such as India's caste system (p. 238), which continues to constrain the freedom of certain groups in the society despite constitutional guarantees of equality.

The book has certain standards and concepts in defining freedom. The authors contend that social norms, especially those that stem from historical oppression, can restrict the freedom that one can enjoy. They take the example of the 19th century, when women's rights were advanced, especially in Britain and the U.S. in the 1960s (p.421), when women were not allowed to engage in public life, as a matter of socio-cultural norm. This examination of sociocultural norms makes it clear that liberty faces several challenges in situations where social norms are rigid.

Acemoglu and Robinson examine the effects of modern difficulties, specifically the influence of technology and globalization, on liberty. They further elaborate on how innovations like AI and surveillance technology, specifically the social credit system used by Hitler and China, pose benefits and risks at the same time. The social credit system allows for better governing but also raises questions regarding civil privacy and liberties. The authors mentioned authoritarianism, especially the Chinese style, which offers economic advancement and growth but heavily undermines freedom. (p. 493) Acemoglu and Robinson claim that these styles of governance, while appealing for their promised expansion, in fact deeply restrict personal freedom. Such systems, having no regard for liberty, stand against developing democracy in the world.

A recurring idea in *The Narrow Corridor* is the theme of institutions and their relation to the level of liberty. They argue against the idea that culture is an absolute determinant of a country's success and believe in an inclusive country's economic institutions that foster liberty and enable growth and political stability. They study the concept that democratically crafted institutions, such as those that guarantee accountability, political engagement, and equality, create the required environment for liberty to flourish (p. 400). Even so, the understanding is that there is no simple correlation between liberty and institutions. The authors consider that even democratically elected governments can abuse their power if the protective measures and balance of power within a state are insufficient. Consequently, the authors point out the issue of institutional resilience, which, as a system, can respond to new challenges and make sure that power does not rise without control.

Liberty is rare in history even today. To promote liberty faces difficulties, such as changing social norms that are deeply set within communities' sentiments. The authors believe that promoting liberty requires an attempt to work within existing cultural and social boundaries since reforms that are too radical tend to upset political arrangements. Solon's reforms in Athens are prime examples that prove that culturally sensitive, gradual changes seem to work better in the long run than sweeping reforms implemented from the top. (p. 44-46) This shift of reconstructive effort of social change suggests that placing liberty against the balance of order is far from a simplistic exercise.

In the 10th chapter, they discuss the threat of the American exceptionalist approach. In such a way, "The book" reveals as much the diversity and complexity that exist between the state's power, its society's power, and liberty (p. 306). The analysis that authors bring forth distinguishes the processes through which liberty is struggled for and how it becomes enshrined (less match), only to be lost again in the collapse of political and social order. Through the author's analysis, we can understand that liberty

is not a state of being but rather a continuous process that requires constant attention. Along with sophisticated political understanding, these historical events ensure this book's timelessness, making it compulsory for people concerned with the future of liberty and democracy.

Although the book offers useful perspectives, it also begs pertinent questions about the definition of liberty in different societies, the impact, the place of military might and how the differences lead the countries towards or away from the narrow corridor. Still, *The Narrow Corridor* presents a hopeful but practical vision of the future of freedom, stressing that with vigorous engagement from both society and government, the balance of power will be kept and freedom will flourish independently.

### **Acknowledgements**

Not Applicable

### **Declaration of Competing Interest**

No conflicts of interest.

### **Declaration of Generative AI and AI-Assisted Technologies in the Writing Process**

While preparing this work, the authors used Grammarly and CoPilot or ChatGPT to improve the manuscript's readability and language. After using these tools, the authors reviewed and edited the content as needed and take full responsibility for the content of the published article.

### **Funding**

No funding.